

PACE Review Comment #	Response	Page # where Comment is Addressed
Critical Areas Report		
1	Appendix added to Critical Areas Report (CAR) to address City's Comprehensive Plan policies related to wetland mitigation	Appendix H, page H-1 to H-2
2	Appendix added to address Comp Plan policies related to stream restoration	Appendix H, page H-1
3	Appendix added to address Comp Plan; Reasons for no stormwater treatment for water entering wetland are discussed in the December 2017 Draft Stormwater TIR prepared by Perteet Engineering; "non-toxic" removed from documents	Appendix H; TIR page 7; "non-toxic" removed throughout project documents
4	BAS section added to CAR	Page 1
5	KMC 18.55.010(F) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other recreational users added • Frequency of use quantified 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Page 1 • Page 1
6	<i>Ballfield Supply and Demand Analysis:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Methodology and sources sections added. • Date added, author's name and title added. • Statement not quantified • Updated to explain focus on soccer and baseball and discuss other uses • Analysis does address the question about underutilization of nearby Big Finn Hill Park. • Updated to address underdeveloped properties 	Multiple pages throughout report: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Methodology & Sources: Page 3, pages 9-10 • Date, author name & title: Page 1 • Page 3; based upon research conducted by City staff through personal communication • Focus on soccer and baseball explained: Page 3; references to cricket: throughout report • Nearby parks: page 5 • Public acquisition: pages 1-2 • Utilization of Big Finn Hill Park: Page 5
7	Critical areas are shown in the figures for the report and in the report's content. Draft mitigation plan now provided.	Wetland and buffer areas: CAR Figure 3 Draft Mitigation Plan (CAR Appendix F)
8	Bald eagles more thoroughly addressed. No known nest within a 0.25 mile; HMP is not required.	Pages 10,12 and 13
9	Clarification added regarding Qualified Professional. All ESA staff contributing to the field work and report preparation meet the definition of qualified professional.	Page 1

PACE Review Comment #	Response	Page # where Comment is Addressed
10	Mitigation Sequencing section revised and expanded to address KMC 18.55.210.	Pages 24, 25
11	City funding addressed	Page 26
12	Photo points addressed; these will be established during Year 1 of monitoring	Page 32
13	Wetland class addressed	Pages 11, 12
14	Code requirement addressed. Alternative site designs were reviewed earlier by the City.	Page 24, 25
15	Variance decisional criteria addressed.	Pages 13-19 (also refer to the critical areas variance documentation memo)
16	Degraded buffer conditions addressed	Addressed throughout report
17	LID strategies addressed	Pages 22 and 25
18	Preference of mitigation actions addressed	Pages 26
19	KMC 18.55.330(E) requirements addressed	Page 26
20	Language added to address mitigation timing	Page 29
21	Corrected typo	Page 1
22	Revised to clarify that date is unknown	Page 6
23	Map figures updated	CAR figures Revised
24	Variance justification included in the CAR	Pages 13-19
25	Figure updated to show stream classifications	Figures 3 and 4 updated
26	Conclusion regarding stream classifications added	Page 7
27	Addressed in new variance section; Addressed in Mitigation Section	Pages 13-19; Pages 24-26
28	Footpath/trail addressed	Page 29
29	Addressed in new variance section	Pages 13-19
30	Permanent <i>and</i> temporary fencing addressed in CAR. Temporary fencing shown on plans. Permanent split-rail fencing to be shown on Draft mitigation plan sheet.	Permanent: Pages 15 and 32; Temporary: page 22; Temporary fencing shown on plan sheet TESC2 Draft Mitigation Plan (Appendix F)
31	Draft Mitigation Plan provided, table added	Appendix F; Tables added, Pages 17, 22
32	See Variance criteria and discuss of existing condition	Page 13-19
33	Proposed buffer enhancement area increased, report updated accordingly	Pages 22-29; Appendix F

PACE Review Comment #	Response	Page # where Comment is Addressed
Geotechnical Report		
34	The Geotechnical Investigation is provided as an appendix to the Draft Stormwater TIR	Appendix E – Hong West Associates (HWA)
SEPA Checklist		
35	Addressed all State Parks comments	Multiple pages throughout checklist. Also refer to the matrix showing a response to comments received from State Parks.
36	Reference was updated	A.7, page 4
37	Checklist and CAR revised	page 18 (CAR), Section B.3A.1, page 12 (SEPA checklist)
38	References to shoreline restoration removed. This future restoration project is not part of the ballfield improvements.	Multiple pages throughout checklist
39	Project description revised and mitigation measures added to the project description	A.11, page 6 (reference to full extent of property) and Section A. 11, pages 8 and 9 (list of mitigation measures)
40	Summary added	A.11 page 8
41	Additional information about the silica sand infill added to checklist	B.3.a.6, page 15
Arborist Report		
42	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arborist conducted follow-up site visit in the fall of 2017 and revised tree protection report accordingly • Reference to missing attachment removed. • Arborist Report (1/22/18) was revised to include recommended temporary tree and plant protection specifications. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multiple pages throughout revised arborist report (dated Jan 22, 2018). Design plans will be revised to include tree protection specifications during final design. • Removed from report • Attachment following Arborist Report (page 31 of document)
43	Plans were revised as advised	Sheet - LM1
Variance Justification		
44	<p>KMC 18.55.170(C)(1):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Statement was revised but is clear • Revised to address alternative location comment • Cultural Landscape Inventory (CLI) synopsis added • Discussion added to address maintaining the integrity of the cultural landscape 	<p>Refer to the Critical Areas Variance Documentation memo dated January 30, 2018:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Page 13 CAR • Alternative location: page 2 • CLI synopsis: pages 2 and 3 • Cultural landscape integrity: pages 2 and 3

PACE Review Comment #	Response	Page # where Comment is Addressed
	<p>KMC 18.55.170(C)(2):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Revised to address comment Revised to address comment Revised to address comment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Substantial property use: page 3 Special circumstances: page 3 Similarly situated property: page 3
	<p>KMC 18.55.170(C)(3):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Addressed questions re: public welfare “materially detrimental” discussion was expanded 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Page 4 Materially detrimental: Page 4
	<p>KMC 18.55.170(C)(4):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hydrology impacts revised Buffer reduction addressed Table of existing conditions and table of project impacts and mitigation added. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hydrology comments: Page 5 Buffer reduction language: Pages 4 and 5 Page 5
	<p>KMC 18.55.170(C)(5):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> BAS review addressed BAS compliance addressed Anadromous fish habitat addressed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> BAS review: page 6 BAS compliance: page 6 Fish habitat: Pages 6 and 7
	<p>KMC 18.55.170(C)(6):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reference to KMC compliance added 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Page 7 and 8; discussed in detail in CAR
Plan Set		
45	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refers to the Conceptual Plan which was a colored rendering of the proposed ballfield at an earlier stage of design. This graphic was for illustration purposes only and was not part of the plan set. Survey with existing conditions provided. Draft Mitigation Plan (Sheet MP1) provided. Lighting plan sheets have been revised The Hours of Light spreadsheet is attached to the Revised Supply & Demand Assessment (January 2018). This is a draft proposal that will be discussed with State Parks. Plan set now titled “St Edward State Park Ballfield” “Site Preparation Plan” sheets removed from plan set. TESC Plans that show site preparation info; plans are not provided 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Conceptual plan available here: http://www.kenmorewa.gov/athletic-field-saint-edward See Sheets EC-1 and EC-2; Figure 3 in CAR. Draft Mitigation Plan (Appendix F, CAR) Plan sheets FS1, FS2 Attachment to Supply & Demand Assessment All plan sheets Plan sheets TESC1, TESC2 Plan sheet TESC1 Plan sheets PV2, PE1

PACE Review Comment #	Response	Page # where Comment is Addressed
	as one sheet due to scale. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Note #4 removed • Plan sheets reorganized as advised 	
46	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arborist reviewed plan sheets as advised • Lighting is shown on the Paving and Illumination plan sheets. More detailed illumination info is found on the Floodlighting Schematic plan sheets 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Plan sheet PV1 – note added about tree root protection near parking area • Plan sheets PV1, PV2; FS1, FS2
47	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Updated plan provided with high quality images • Landscape architect stamp to be provided with final design and submittal • Plan sheets were reorganized; Site Layout plan was moved as advised 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Plan sheets SD1-SD6 • Plan sheets LP1, LP2 • All plan sheets; Plan sheet LM1, LM2
Public Comment Response		
48	Response matrices completed for public comments received during the NOA and SEPA comment periods, as well as for comments received from State Parks.	N/A