

CAPITAL FACILITIES ELEMENT AMENDMENTS

INTRODUCTION

Purpose

The Capital Facilities Element is intended to assist the City of Kenmore and its officials make the financial decisions to ensure that the public facilities and services City residents rely on will continue to adequately support City residents today and into the future. The Capital Facilities Element places particular focus on those facilities that the City is responsible for funding. This Element contains a six-year plan for capital improvements that support the City of Kenmore's current and future population and economy. The six-year capital improvements described here must be fully funded.

Another purpose of the Capital Facilities Element is to respond to Growth Management Act requirements to provide a process to review the potential siting of uses typically difficult to locate in most communities due to environmental, economic, or social costs. This Element provides policies that would guide local permit and public review of essential public facilities.

Growth Management Act Requirements

The Growth Management Act (GMA) establishes many of the requirements of the capital facilities element. It establishes an overall goal to “ensure that those public facilities and services necessary to support development shall be adequate to serve the development at the time the development is available for occupancy and use without decreasing current service levels below locally established minimum standards.” The GMA requires that the capital facilities element include an inventory of existing publicly owned capital facilities, a forecast of the future needs for new or expanded facilities, and a six-year plan to indicate from what sources the identified future facilities will be financed. The GMA defines public facilities to include roadways, street lighting, traffic signals, sidewalks, domestic water systems, storm and sanitary sewer systems, parks and recreational facilities, and schools. Public services are defined to include fire protection, law enforcement, public health, education, recreation, environmental protection, and other governmental services. The Capital Facilities Element is intended to provide a general assessment of major public services which impact land use issues, rather than a detailed analysis of every service provided by government.

Another key GMA requirement is to include a process for identifying and siting essential public facilities. Essential public facilities include “those facilities that are typically difficult to site, such as airports, state education facilities and state or regional transportation facilities as defined in RCW 47.06.140, regional transit authority facilities as defined in RCW 81.112.020, state and local correctional facilities, solid waste handling facilities, and in-patient facilities including substance abuse facilities, mental health facilities, group homes, community facilities as defined in RCW 72.05.020, and secure community transition facilities as defined in RCW 71.09.020.” No local comprehensive plan or development regulation may preclude the siting of essential public facilities.

VISION 2050

VISION 2050 acknowledges that expanding public services to accommodate growth can create challenges in how and where to site new facilities. While capital facilities are essential to communities, commerce, and quality of life, they often affect the environment and adjacent communities. Policies support locating development in a manner that minimizes impacts to natural features. They also promote

the use of innovative environmentally-sensitive development practices, including design, materials, construction, and on-going maintenance. VISION 2050 adds a new emphasis on equity considerations to ensure that both the benefits and the impacts of capital facilities are shared by communities throughout the region.

Countywide Planning Policies

The King County Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs) include general policies regarding adequate infrastructure for planned development for those areas within the Urban Growth Area. Growth is to be directed to centers and urbanized areas with existing infrastructure capacity. Policies also include several policy statements regarding water and wastewater. In summary, the policies address regional coordination of water supplies, water conservation, alternate sewer treatment technologies and systems, and preference for urban water and sewer systems to serve new construction in the areas identified for growth.

The CPPs state that public capital facilities of a regional or statewide nature should be sited using a process that incorporates broad public involvement, especially from historically marginalized and disproportionately burdened communities. As in VISION 2050, the CPPs emphasize that the impacts and benefits of public capital facilities should be equitably dispersed while still reflecting the CPPs' overall policy directions.

When siting and building essential public services and facilities, CPP policies support consideration of climate change, economic, equity, and health impacts.

Concurrency, Level of Service and Impact Fees

Concurrency refers to the timely provision of public facilities and services relative to the need for them, especially for transportation improvements. WAC 365-196-210 states, "Concurrency means that adequate public facilities are available when the impacts of development occur, or within a specified time thereafter." The City maintains a 6-year capital improvement program that identifies needed improvements and the funds to pay for them. Longer-term facilities plans are described in individual Comprehensive Plan elements or summarized in this element, along with estimates of future costs, if available.

Level of service standards provide the baseline by which the impacts of new development are measured. WAC 365-196-210 states, "Level of service means an established minimum capacity of public facilities or services that must be provided per unit of demand or other appropriate measure of need. Level of service standards are synonymous with locally established minimum standards." For transportation facilities, if growth will reduce the level of service below the City's adopted standards, development permits cannot be issued until facilities are provided. The Transportation Element discusses level of service standards for multimodal transportation facilities.

The City's impact fee requirements are in place to maintain desired levels of service by providing funding from new development for needed improvements. Impact fees are available as a funding mechanism for transportation facilities, parks, fire protection facilities, and schools. The City requires impact fees for transportation facilities and parks. When the Northshore School District determines that impact fees for schools are needed, they may request that the City collect school impact fees on their behalf. The need for additional fire protection facilities was not identified in the Public Services Element.

Sound Fiscal Management

Planning for major capital facilities and their costs enables the City of Kenmore to demonstrate the need for facilities and the need for revenues to pay for them. It also allows the City to estimate the future

operation/maintenance costs of new facilities that will impact the annual budget. Additionally, it helps the City take advantage of sources of revenue (i.e., grants, fees, real estate excise taxes) that require a Capital Facilities Plan to qualify for the revenue. Lastly, it may help the City get better ratings on bond issues when the City borrows money for capital facilities.

Eligibility for Grants and Loans

The State Department of Community Development's Public Works Trust Fund requires that local governments have a Capital Facilities Plan in order to be eligible for grants and loans. Some other grants and loans have similar requirements (i.e., Washington State Recreation and Conservation Office grants, or the Department of Ecology's Centennial Clean Water Fund), or give preference to jurisdictions that have a Plan.

INVENTORY/FORECAST OF FUTURE NEEDS

General

The inventory and forecast of needs required in the Capital Facilities Element have been met in other Elements as follows:

- Existing and future needs for transportation facilities, **Chapter 6, Transportation Element**
- Domestic water systems, storm and sanitary sewer systems, **Chapter 8, Surface Water Element** and **Chapter 10, Utilities Element**
- Parks and recreational facilities, **Chapter 7, Parks, Recreation and Open Space Element**
- Government services including City, fire, police, human, library, and school services, **Chapter 9, Public Services Element**
- Affordable housing provision, **Chapter 5, Housing Element**

Levels of service analyses, where appropriate, also are discussed in these other Elements.

In 2018, the City of Lake Forest Park ended their contract with the City of Kenmore to provide public works services. The existing public works shop in Lake Forest Park will no longer be used by the City of Kenmore. This shop provides services needed to support the Transportation; Parks, Recreation and Open Space; Surface Water; and Public Services Elements of this Plan. Based on a level-of-service analysis of Kenmore's participation in the Lake Forest Park shop, the City estimates that a shop capable of housing twelve employees (five maintenance workers, an Administrative Assistant and six seasonal employees) will be required. This shop would maintain existing shop capacity and would continue to serve the City for the foreseeable future.

Housing Element policies support the use of surplus public property and local resources to leverage other public and private funding for the creation or preservation of affordable housing. In 2021/2022, the City Council determined that developing a project to provide affordable housing would fulfill not only a Council priority, but also would implement the goals, objectives, and policies of the Housing Element. Preliminarily, funding from the City's American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) allocation and the ARCH Housing Trust Fund, along with a donation of surplus City property will advance this effort. Other affordable housing projects may be identified in the future for City participation.

The focus of the Capital Facilities Element is to identify the capital facility costs and timeframes for at least 6 years to support the Comprehensive Plan.

Essential Public Facilities

Existing Essential Public Facilities

Within Kenmore today, there are several existing facilities that would qualify as “essential public facilities” including, but not limited to:

- SR-522 – Bothell Way, a state transportation facility (classified as a Highway of Statewide Significance)
- Kenmore Air Harbor, a private seaplane base, which is considered a “public use airport” by the Washington State Aviation System Plan
- Several adult family homes and group homes as described in **Chapter 5, Housing Element**.

Although not specifically listed in the definition of essential public facilities, regional wastewater facilities could be considered essential public facilities, since the definition lists examples and is not a definitive list. Examples of regional wastewater facilities include:

- King County Department of Natural Resources, Wastewater Treatment Division, regional facilities within Kenmore. These include the Kenmore Pump Station/Logboom Regulator System, Swamp Creek Trunk, and Kenmore Interceptor. The Kenmore Pump Station/Logboom Regulator System controls flows in the Kenmore Lakeline, a 48-inch diameter, five-mile long pipeline constructed in Lake Washington between Kenmore and Matthew’s Beach. This system conveys sewage from King County’s North Service Area to Matthews Beach Pump Station and from there to the West Point Treatment Plant. The Kenmore Interceptor is a 72-inch diameter sewer within Kenmore that enters the City from the east.

Planned Essential Public Facilities

The State of Washington Office of Financial Management (OFM) is required to maintain a list of those essential state public facilities that are required or likely to be built within the next six years. The OFM 2021-2027 Six-Year Facilities Plan includes no planned facilities in Kenmore.

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLANNING

This section addresses short and long-term improvement plans for City facilities including parks and recreation, surface water and transportation. **Tables CF-A** through **CF-D** are the Capital Facilities Plans through 2035 for transportation and surface water and through 2040 for parks, recreation and open spaces. **Table CF-E** is the City’s current Capital Improvement Program, showing the 6-year plans for capital facilities with forecasts of expenditures and revenues. Cost estimates and revenue projections are most accurate for the current biennium and least accurate for the long-term assessments. Projects and schedules in the Capital Facilities Element of the Comprehensive Plan will be updated as needed in conjunction with the City’s budget process.

The Element also incorporates by reference the 6-year capital facility plans for the special districts that provide water, wastewater services, fire protection and school services: the Northshore Utility District, the Northshore Fire Department and the Northshore School District. Agencies or special districts, in accordance

with the provisions of the Growth Management Act, may need to update their Comprehensive Plans and/or 6-year capital improvement plans in order to be consistent with the City's Comprehensive Plan.

**TABLE CF-A
PARKS CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS
CITY OF KENMORE**

2020-2040 FISCALLY UNCONSTRAINED LIST

PROJECT DETAIL	TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT
ACQUISITION	
Short-Term	
Swamp Creek Wetland Acquisition – Phase 1	1,700,000
Mid-Term	
Bastyr Field Replacement (Feasibility Study)	150,000
New Parkland Acquisition	5,560,785
WaterWalk Trail Acquisition – Phase 1 (Log Boom to λ' a žw a d i s)	741,438
Long-Term	
Moorlands Park Expansion Acquisition	741,438
Swamp Creek Wetland Acquisition – Phase 2	4,655,000
Aquatic/Community Center Partnership (¼ cost)	6,250,000
Lake Washington Waterfront Park Acquisitions	7,414,380
New Parkland Acquisition	16,682,355
Sammamish River Waterfront Park Acquisitions	8,897,256
DEVELOPMENT	
Short-Term	
Twin Springs Interim Use Plan	100,000
Moorlands Park Improvements	50,000
Rhododendron Park Boardwalk & Float Mitigation	96,000 + 8,000
λ' a žw a d i s Replacement Float Mitigation	16,000
λ' a žw a d i s Waterfront Access Project	5,750,000
Log Boom Park Waterfront Access Project	2,740,000
Rhododendron Park – Phase 2 Boatshed	487,000
Swamp Creek Wetland Trail Access Point	75,000
Linwood Park (Master Plan)	1,057,770
Mid-Term	
City Hall Park – Phase 2	620,000

Linwood Park (Implementation)	1,051,770
Rhododendron Park – Phase 3	1,040,000
Athletic Fields	3,500,000
Swamp Creek Wetland Nature Trail	1,785,000
Twin Springs Park Phase 2 Trails	1,716,000
Twin Springs Park Phase 3 (Nature Play, Shelter)	1,800,000
Wallace Swamp Creek Park (Master Plan, Implement)	1,281,500
ADA and Universal Access	*
Dog Off-Leash Area	739,000
Existing Park Capacity Improvements (Expansion or New Features)	9,750,000
Restoration of Natural Areas	*
Safe Routes to Parks	*
WaterWalk Trail Development (Feasibility and Implementation)	5,000,000
Long-Term	
Moorlands Park Expansion Development	1,650,000
Madison Waterfront Access – Phase 2	4,144,800
Aquatic/Community Center Partnership Development (1/4 cost)	10,625,000
ADA and Universal Access	*
Existing Park Capacity Improvements	9,750,000
Lake Washington Waterfront Park Development	10,000,000
Natural Area Restoration	*
New Park Land Development	45,000,000
Safe Routes to Parks	*
Sammamish River Waterfront Park Development	5,000,000
WaterWalk Trail Development	5,000,000
REPAIR/REPLACEMENT (RENOVATION)	
Short-Term	

Park Facility Repair/Replacement	*
Mid- Term	
Park Facility Repair/Replacement	*
Long-Term	
Park Facility Major Repairs/Replacement	*
Total	\$181,673,722

*Dollar amount is not included at this time as the scale and scope of the project has not been determined

TABLE CF-B
SURFACE WATER FACILITIES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS
CITY OF KENMORE
2022-2035 FISCALLY UNCONSTRAINED LIST

PROJECT DETAIL	TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT
0056 Culvert Replacement and Repairs at 190 TH Street	2,700,000
Surface Water Component of SR 522 Corridor Improvement Project – West A	634,000
Tributary 0057 Evaluation	50,000
Small Works Projects	1,300,000
Little Swamp Creek Relocation	4,500,000
Northlake Heights LID Retrofit	1,274,000
Kenmore Lane (Plat) Facility Retrofit	1,000,000
Blueberry Creek Culvert Replacement	2,500,000
NE 202 nd St. Culvert Replacement	2,500,000
NE 192 nd St. West Culvert Replacement	2,500,000
TOTAL	\$18,958,000

**TABLE CF-C
TRANSPORTATION CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS
CITY OF KENMORE**

2022-2035 AND BEYOND FISCALLY UNCONSTRAINED LIST

PROJECT DETAIL	TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT
West Sammamish River Bridge	41,495,000
SR-522 Improvements (Lake Forest Park-61 st)	9,000,000
Sidewalk and Crossing Program	900,000
Downtown Parking Feasibility Study	75,000
61 st Ave. Sidewalk Replacement (East Side)	3,500,000
61 st Ave. Sidewalk Replacement (West Side)	1,500,000
Arterial Restriping to add Bike Lanes on 73 rd Ave. (south of 192 nd), 80 th Ave. and Simonds Road	360,000
Juanita Drive (NE 143 rd St. to NE 170 th St.)	16,845,000
Feasibility Study for Grade-Separated Crossing of SR 522	500,000
68 th Ave. Northbound Right Turn Pocket Extension	1,600,000
175 th Lower Swamp Creek Bridge	3,000,000
Yellow Standard Pedestrian Facilities	18,900,000
Yellow Standard Bicycle Facilities	18,800,000
Improved Pedestrian Crossings	650,000
Grade Separated SR522 Crossing	17,100,000
Intersection Treatments at 67 th Ave./181 st St. and 67 th Ave./175 th St.	6,000,000
Intersection Treatments at 73 rd Ave./192 nd St., 80 th Ave./192 nd St., and 84 th Ave./Simonds Rd.	3,800,000
Lakepointe Drive West (SR522 to 68 th Ave.), including new intersection at 68 th Ave.	7,500,000
175 th Signal Removal	20,000
Lake Pointe Dr. East (68 th Ave. to SR 522)	7,500,000

TOTAL	\$159,045,000
--------------	---------------

TABLE CF-D
OTHER CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS
CITY OF KENMORE
2022-2044 AND BEYOND FISCALLY UNCONSTRAINED LIST

PROJECT DETAIL	TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT
Public Works Shop Land Acquisition and Development	\$10-20,000,000
Affordable Housing Development	\$8,090,000
TOTAL	\$18,090,000-28,090,000

TABLE CF-E
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
AS ADOPTED FOR THE YEARS 2021-2026

EXPENDITURES	2021 Adopted	2022 Adopted	2023 Adopted	2024 Adopted	2025 Adopted	2026 Adopted	2021-2026 Totals
<u>PARKS</u>							
P 1 Twin Springs Interim Use	\$227,900	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$227,900
P 18 Rhododendron Park Waterfront & Open Space	18,000	8,000		0	0	0	26,000
P 18a Rhododendron Park Float Mitigation	2,000	2,000	0	0	0	0	4,000
P 26 Tlahwadees Landing Float Mitigation	4,000	4,000	0	0	0	0	8,000
P 27 Tlahwadees Landing Park Waterfront & Mitigat	179,762	8,078,190	30,000	43,000	43,000	43,000	8,416,952
P 28 Log Boom Park Waterfront Access & Mitigation	2,490,461	725,382	60,000	42,500	42,500	42,500	3,403,343
P 30 Rhododendron Park Boathouse Pavilion	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	0	16,000
P 31 Tlahwadees Landing Park Land Acquisition-Op	150,151	0	0	0	0	0	150,151
P 32 Moorlands Field Lighting	0	0	0	10,000	60,000	580,000	650,000
Total Parks	\$3,075,474	\$8,820,772	\$93,200	\$98,700	\$148,700	\$665,500	\$12,902,346
<u>TRANSPORTATION</u>							
T 8 SR 522 West B 57th to 61st	\$5,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$900,000	\$585,000	\$10,000	\$2,000,000
T 27 Sidewalk Program:							
Sidewalk Gaps/ADA Replacement Program	20,000	150,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	570,000
T27x NE 192nd Ave Sidewalks (73rd Ave -75th A	500	86,306	344,194	0	0	0	431,000
T 47 Arrowhead Dr	1,000	60,000	85,900	980,348	0	0	1,127,248
T 35 Pavement Preservation	150,000	990,000	150,000	990,000	150,000	990,000	3,420,000
T 37 West Samm Bridge	18,024,711	8,643,947	893,176	9,757	9,759	78,632	27,659,982
T 38 175th St/ Swamp Creek Crossing	0	25,000	25,000	175,000	200,000	2,200,000	2,625,000
T 41 Juanita Dr Pedestrian & Bicycle Safety All Segr	9,897,233	358,699	0	0	0	0	10,255,932
T 42 68th Ave Pedestrian & Bicycle Safety All Segm	9,167,718	2,733,503	0	0	0	0	11,901,221
T 44 61 Ave Sidewalk Replacement Project	85,000	165,000	4,674,265	0	0	0	4,924,265
T 48 2018 Local Road Safety - Signing	292,000	0	0	0	0	0	292,000
T 49 2018 Local Road Safety - Lighting	35,000	0	0	0	0	0	35,000
T 50 SR522 Gateway Sign East	20,000	0	0	0	0	0	20,000
T51 Burk-Gilman Trail/NE 175th St. wayfinding&	10,000	296,047	0	0	0	0	306,047
Total Transportation	\$37,708,162	\$13,758,502	\$6,522,535	\$3,155,105	\$1,044,759	\$3,378,632	\$65,567,695
<u>SURFACE WATER</u>							
SW 8 190th St. Fish Passable Culvert	\$316,000	\$2,074,580	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,390,580
SW 8 Trust Fund Loan Repayment	81,650	81,650	81,650	81,650	81,650	81,650	489,900
SW 17 Little Swamp Creek Relocation	0	0	0	0	800,000	814,300	1,614,300
SW 20 Small Works Projects	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	450,000
SW 29 Infiltration Tank Retrofit at 61st Ave NE/NE	0	0	0	0	500,000	0	500,000
SW 31 Drainage Impr & Street Repair at 66th Ave N	200,000	0	0	0	0	0	200,000
SW 32 61st Ave Stabilization	150,000	0	0	0	0	0	150,000
SW 34 Blueberry Creek Culverts	0	200,000	300,000	1,000,000	0	0	1,500,000
SW 34 Blueberry Creek Culverts Bank Note Repaym	0	0	0	0	120,000	120,000	240,000
T 44 61 Ave Sidewalk Replacement Project	0	0	30,000	0	0	0	30,000
T 37 West Samm Bridge (SWM Component)	649,587	0	0	0	0	0	649,587
Total Surface Water	\$1,472,237	\$2,431,230	\$486,650	\$1,156,650	\$1,576,650	\$1,090,950	\$8,214,367
<u>CITY FACILITIES</u>							
F 1 Public Works Shop Land Acquisition & Develop	\$6,000,000	\$0	\$4,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,000,000
Consultant and Acquisition Costs.	100,000	0	0	0	0	0	100,000
F 2 Debt Repayment	0	641,000	639,000	636,000	638,000	639,000	3,193,000
Total City Facilities	\$6,100,000	\$641,000	\$4,639,000	\$636,000	\$638,000	\$639,000	\$13,293,000
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$48,355,873	\$25,651,504	\$11,741,385	\$5,046,455	\$3,408,109	\$5,774,082	\$99,977,408

TABLE CF-E
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
AS ADOPTED FOR THE YEARS 2021-2026

REVENUES	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2021-2026
	Adopted	Adopted	Adopted	Adopted	Adopted	Adopted	Totals
Park Impact Fee Revenue	76,000	581,410	0	10,000	60,000	290,000	1,017,410
State Department of Commerce Award: Twin Spring	151,900	0	0	0	0	0	151,900
State Appropriation Unsecured	0	927,000	0	0	0	0	927,000
RCO Park Grants	209,093	961,482	0	0	0	290,000	1,460,575
King County Park Levy	9,200	309,200	63,200	45,700	45,700	42,500	515,500
King County Conservation District, secured	0	213,000	0	0	0	0	213,000
King County Waterworks Grants	0	100,000	0	0	0	0	100,000
Walkways & Waterways Bonds: Log Boom, Squires	1,791,571	4,147,950	0	0	0	0	5,939,521
City Swamp Creek Basin Funds	150,151	550,486	30,000	43,000	43,000	43,000	859,637
Public Art Fund	55,559	24,083	0	0	0	0	79,642
Real Estate Excise Tax (Parks)	632,000	1,006,161	0	0	0	0	1,638,161
Transportation Impact Fee Revenue	3,258,848	358,699	0	0	0	0	3,617,547
Real Estate Excise Tax (Transportation)	\$3,165,050	\$2,140,000	\$250,000	\$1,090,000	\$250,000	\$1,090,000	7,985,050
Dept of Commerce: Juanita Drive	194,000	0	0	0	0	0	194,000
WSDOT Safe Routes to School - Sidewalks	1,500	146,306	430,094	980,348	0	0	1,558,248
WSDOT pedestrian/bike grant	65,000	461,047	1,144,265	0	0	0	1,670,312
Future Grants	0	0	3,500,000	0	0	0	3,500,000
Federal Highway Safety Impr Program - Sidewalks	326,000	0	0	0	0	0	326,000
Walkways & Waterways Bonds: Juanita and 68th Av	5,908,160	400,000	0	0	0	0	6,308,160
WSDOT Grants: Juanita Drive	1,704,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,704,000
Sound Transit Grant Juanita Drive	1,278,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,278,000
Reimbursements from Other Agencies	2,765,330	0	0	0	0	0	2,765,330
Connecting WA Funds T8	5,000	250,000	250,000	900,000	585,000	10,000	2,000,000
DOE Grant: Juanita and 68th Ave	1,012,563	0	0	0	0	0	1,012,563
Strategic Opportunity Fund	0	1,333,503	0	0	0	0	1,333,503
Other grant or funding	0	25,000	25,000	175,000	200,000	2,200,000	2,625,000
Surface Water Utility Funds	0	0	30,000	0	0	0	30,000
Transportation Impact Fee Revenue-Samm Bridge	0	45,000	352,770	9,757	9,759	78,632	495,918
Real Estate Excise Tax (Transportation) - Samm Brid	0	996,913	500,000	0	0	0	1,496,913
BRAC Grant West Samm Bridge Replacement	3,692,856	0	0	0	0	0	3,692,856
TIB Grant: West Samm Bridge Replacement	3,650,023	1,295,403	0	0	0	0	4,945,426
Connecting WA Funds West Samm Bridge Replacen	9,619,546	6,306,631	40,406	0	0	0	15,966,583
Surface Water Management Fund	649,587	0	0	0	0	0	649,587
Utility Reimbursement: West Samm Bridge Replacen	412,699	0	0	0	0	0	412,699
Surface Water Utility Funds	1,056,237	1,419,230	386,650	56,650	396,650	440,950	3,756,367
Surface Water General Utility Charges	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	600,000
Public Works Trust Fund Loan: 190th Culvert	316,000	912,000	0	0	0	0	1,228,000
Bank Note for Blueberry Creek Culverts (10 Yr 2.5%)				1,000,000	0	0	1,000,000
King County Flood Control District Resources	0	0	0	0	380,000	50,000	430,000
Other grants	0	0	0	0	700,000	500,000	1,200,000
20 year Note Public Works Shop	6,000,000	4,000,000	0	0	0	0	10,000,000
Surface Water Utility Funds	50,000	288,450	287,550	286,200	287,100	287,550	1,486,850
Street Fund	0	128,200	127,800	127,200	127,600	127,800	638,600
General Fund	50,000	160,250	159,750	159,000	159,500	159,750	848,250
Transportation Benefit District	0	64,100	63,900	63,600	63,800	63,900	319,300
TOTAL REVENUES	\$48,355,873	\$29,651,504	\$7,741,385	\$5,046,455	\$3,408,109	\$5,774,082	\$99,977,408

GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND POLICIES

Following are the goals, objectives and policies addressing capital facility planning and financing. These are applicable to Kenmore as well as to other agencies planning public capital facilities and services in Kenmore.

GOAL CF-1. ESTABLISH APPROPRIATE LEVELS OF SERVICE FOR PUBLIC FACILITIES TO ADEQUATELY SERVE EXISTING AND FUTURE DEVELOPMENT.

Objective CF-1.1 Identify and define types of public facilities.

Policy CF-1.1.1 Maintain an inventory of existing public facilities owned or operated by the City, and reference those of the County, State, special districts, or other public entities within Kenmore. Include in the inventory the locations and capacities of such facilities and systems.

Objective CF-1.2 Review standards for levels of service, where appropriate, for each public facility, and determine what additional public facilities are needed in order to achieve and maintain the desired quality of life and vision for the City of Kenmore.

Policy CF-1.2.1 Level of service standards should 1) measure the quality of life based on the City's vision of its future and values, 2) be achievable for existing development and growth anticipated in the land use plan, and 3) be achievable with existing and proposed financing plans.

Policy CF-1.2.2 If appropriate, use the level of service standards to 1) determine the need for public facilities and 2) test the adequacy of such facilities to serve proposed development. In addition, use the level of service standards for city-owned public facilities to develop the City's annual budget and 6-year Capital Improvement Program.

Policy CF-1.2.3 Reassess the Capital Facility Element annually to ensure that public facilities needs, financing, and level of service are consistent with the land use plan. The annual update should be coordinated with the annual budget process, and the annual amendment of the Comprehensive Plan.

GOAL CF-2. PROVIDE ADEQUATE PUBLIC FACILITIES CONCURRENT WITH THE IMPACT OF NEW DEVELOPMENT.

Objective CF-2.1 Provide a variety of responses to the demands of growth on capital facilities.

Policy CF-2.1.1 Ensure City public facilities and services are provided concurrent with the impact of new development or redevelopment, including stormwater, roads, and local parks. Require that non-City public facilities are provided concurrent with the impact of new development or redevelopment including, water and sewer. Consistent with the Growth Management Act, road improvements may be provided at the time of, or within 6-years of, development. Local parkland to serve new development may be in place at the time of, or within 6-years of, development.

Policy CF-2.1.2 Make the most efficient use of existing public facilities, including techniques such

as:

- Conservation
- Demand management
- Improved scheduling
- Encourage development that uses existing facilities
- Contracting for services
- Other methods of improved efficiency.

Policy CF-2.1.3 Provide additional public facility capacity when existing facilities are used to their maximum level of efficiency consistent with adopted standards for levels of service.

Policy CF-2.1.4 Encourage development where adequate public facilities and services exist or can be provided in an efficient manner.

GOAL CF-3. COORDINATE CAPITAL FACILITY PLANS WITH STATE, COUNTY, AND LOCAL AGENCIES AND DISTRICTS.

Objective CF-3.1 Coordinate the land use planning and decisions with plans for public facility capital improvements.

Policy CF-3.1.1 Coordinate with non-City providers of public facilities about maintaining adopted levels of service standards, funding, and construction of capital improvements. Work in partnership with non-City public facility providers to prepare functional plans consistent with the City of Kenmore Comprehensive Plan as provided in Objective 2.7 and associated policies in the Land Use Element.

Policy CF-3.1.2 Establish interagency planning mechanisms to assure coordinated and mutually supportive capital facility plans from non-City providers of public facilities.

- a. Establish priority areas for infrastructure improvements consistent with the City's vision as provided in Policy LU-2.4.1.
- b. Annually assess development trends and infrastructure provision to identify and remedy deficiencies or need to reassess the land use plan.

GOAL CF-4. MAINTAIN A SIX-YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM TO IMPLEMENT THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

Objective CF-4.1 Annually develop a six-year Capital Improvement Program to implement the Comprehensive Plan.

Policy CF-4.1.1 Prepare and utilize the six-year Capital Improvement Program to identify City capital projects necessary to respond to the planned growth of the community and maintain desired levels of service.

Policy CF-4.1.2 Prepare and utilize the six-year Capital Improvement Program to integrate all of the community's capital project resources such as grants, bonds, city funds, donations, impact fees and other available funding.

Policy CF-4.1.3 Maintain the Capital Improvement Program as follows:

- a. Provide for annual review of the Capital Improvement Program contained in this Capital Facilities Element by the City Council and incorporate a public participation process.
- b. Ensure that the Capital Improvement Program:
 - Is consistent with the overall Comprehensive Plan
 - Defines the projects' need and links to levels of service and facility plans
 - Includes construction costs, timing, and funding sources, and considers operations and maintenance impacts where appropriate
 - Establishes priorities for capital project development
 - Adopts by reference annual updates of the Northshore School District Capital Facilities Plan, Lake Washington School District Capital Facilities Plan if appropriate, Northshore Utility District water and sewer plans, and Northshore Fire District 16 (Northshore Fire Department) facility plans if any.

GOAL CF-5. PREPARE AND MAINTAIN A CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM THAT IS FULLY FUNDED AND FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE.

Objective CF-5.1 Establish mechanisms to ensure that the required public facilities are financially feasible.

Policy CF-5.1.1 Base the financing plan for public facilities on realistic estimates of current local revenues and external revenues that are reasonably anticipated to be received by the City.

Policy CF-5.1.2 Finance the six-year Capital Improvement Program within the City's financial capacity to achieve a balance between available revenue and needed public facilities. If the projected funding is inadequate to finance needed public facilities based on adopted level of service standards and forecasted growth, the City could do one or more of the following:

- Lower the level of service standard
- Change the Land Use Plan
- Increase the amount of revenue from existing sources
- Adopt new sources of revenue

Objective CF-5.2 Establish mechanisms to ensure that the required public facilities are fully funded.

Policy CF-5.2.1 Match revenue sources to capital improvements on the basis of sound fiscal policies.

Policy CF-5.2.2 Revise the financing plan in the event that revenue sources for capital improvements, which require voter approval in a local referendum, are not approved.

Policy CF-5.2.3 Ensure that the ongoing operating and maintenance costs of a public facility are financially feasible prior to constructing the facility.

GOAL CF-6. ENSURE GROWTH PAYS PROPORTIONATE COSTS OF CAPITAL FACILITIES REQUIRED TO SERVE THE GROWTH

Objective CF-6.1 Ensure existing and future development pay for the costs of needed capital improvements.

Policy CF-6.1.1 Ensure that existing development pays for capital improvements that reduce or eliminate existing deficiencies, and pays for some or all of the cost to replace obsolete or worn out facilities. Existing development may also pay a portion of the cost of capital improvements needed by future development. Existing development's payments may take the form of user fees, charges for services, special assessments, and taxes.

Policy CF-6.1.2 Ensure that future development pays a proportionate share of the cost of new facilities that it requires. Future development may also pay a portion of the cost to replace obsolete or worn-out facilities. Future development's payments may take the form of voluntary contributions for the benefit of any public facility, impact fees, mitigation payments, capacity fees, dedications of land, provision of public facilities, and future payments of users' fees, charges for services, special assessments, and taxes.

GOAL CF-7. LOCATE AND DESIGN CAPITAL FACILITIES TO REALIZE THE VISION STATEMENT, AND TO BE COMPATIBLE WITH SURROUNDING LAND USES AND THE ENVIRONMENT.

Objective CF-7.1 Promote capital facilities that protect the public health, safety and welfare, and that serve as models for function, design, and environmental protection.

- Policy CF-7.1.1 Consider the quality of public facilities in planning for capital improvements.
- Ensure that public facilities’ design meets appropriate policies in the Community Design Sub-Element, complies with City design standards, and is compatible with the surrounding areas.
 - Maintain public spaces and enhance their appearance.
- Policy CF-7.1.2 Encourage public amenities and facilities which serve as catalysts for beneficial development.
- Policy CF-7.1.3 Protect public health and environmental quality through the appropriate design and installation of public facilities.
- Promote conservation of energy, water, and other natural resources in the location and design of public facilities.
 - Practice efficient and environmentally responsible maintenance and operating procedures for public facilities.
 - Preserve existing significant natural vegetation and features in the development of public facilities.
- Policy CF-7.1.4 Equitably disperse the impacts and benefits of public capital facilities throughout the community.

GOAL CF-8. ALLOW FOR THE APPROPRIATE SITING OF ESSENTIAL PUBLIC CAPITAL FACILITIES OF A STATE-WIDE OR COUNTY-WIDE NATURE.

OBJECTIVE CF-8.1 Participate in a cooperative inter-jurisdictional approach to the siting of essential public facilities in accordance with the King County Countywide Planning Policies. The approach should address definitions, inventories, incentives, compensation, public involvement, environmental protection, climate change, economic and health impacts, and alternative sites analysis.

- Policy CF-8.1.1 Identify essential public facilities based upon the Growth Management Act, State Office of Financial Management list of essential public facilities required or likely to be built, King County Countywide Planning Policies, and any City lists which may be developed.
- Policy CF-8.1.2 Classify a facility as an essential public facility if it has one or more of the following characteristics:

- a. The facility meets the Growth Management Act definition of an essential public facility;
- b. The facility is on a State, County or City list of essential public facilities;
- c. The facility serves a significant portion of the County or metropolitan region or is part of a Countywide service system; or
- d. The facility is the sole existing facility in the County for providing that essential public service.

OBJECTIVE CF-8.2 Establish a local public review and permit process for essential public facilities.

Policy CF-8.2.1 Require a siting analysis for proposed new or expansions to existing essential public facilities consisting of the following:

- a. An inventory of similar existing essential public facilities in King County and neighboring counties, including their locations and capacities;
- b. A forecast of the future needs for the essential public facility, and definition of a logical service area;
- c. An analysis of the potential social and economic costs and benefits to jurisdictions receiving or surrounding the facilities;
- d. An analysis of environmental, health, social, and economic impacts, including mitigation, of any existing essential public facility, as well as of any new site(s) under consideration as an alternative to expansion of an existing facility;
- e. An analysis of alternatives to the facility, including decentralization, conservation, demand management and other strategies;
- f. Consideration of any applicable prior review conducted by a public agency, local government, or community group;
- g. An analysis of the consistency with Comprehensive Plan policies and designations; and,
- h. Consideration of other standards and criteria as outlined in the King County Countywide Planning Policies and other locally defined plans and ordinances.

Policy CF-8.2.2 Require a public process by which the public has a reasonable opportunity to participate in the site selection process, especially those from historically marginalized and disproportionately burdened communities.

Policy CF-8.2.3 Siting criteria for essential public facilities which are not difficult to site should provide for site design and buffering techniques to ensure compatibility with surrounding uses, and enable the facility to be permitted outright in appropriate zoning classifications whenever feasible.

Policy CF-8.2.4 Work with King County and other municipalities to standardize review procedures and criteria for the siting of Statewide and Countywide essential public facilities and incorporate these procedures within interlocal agreements.

OBJECTIVE CF-8.3 Cooperate regionally to ensure appropriate and equitable siting of essential public facilities.

Policy CF-8.3.1 Encourage the State and County to site essential public facilities equitably among communities. No single community should absorb an inequitable share of these facilities and their impacts. Siting should consider environmental equity and environmental, economic, technical, and service area factors. The net impact of siting new essential public facilities should be weighted against the net impact of expansion of existing essential public facilities, with appropriate buffering and mitigation.

Policy CF-8.3.2 Participate in a cooperative interjurisdictional approach to the siting of essential public facilities in accordance with the King County Countywide Planning Policies. Joint planning agreements should be sought where appropriate.

OBJECTIVE CF-8.4 Seek to mitigate disproportionate financial burdens to the City due to the siting of essential public facilities.

Policy CF-8.4.1 Through joint planning or interlocal agreements, the City should seek to mitigate disproportionate financial burdens due to the siting of essential public facilities

Policy CF-8.4.2 Seek amenities or incentives for neighborhoods in which the facilities are located, and require compensation for adverse impacts.

IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES

The Capital Facilities Element policies would require new or increased commitments of City resources to prepare new regulations, review/amend existing regulations, or coordinate with agencies and other service providers.

New programs, rules, or regulations would be needed to address:

- Evaluation reports monitoring implementation of the goals and policies of the Capital Facilities Element.

A review of existing programs, rules and regulations would be needed to ensure they meet the policies. Rules, regulations and programs that should be reviewed include:

- Impact fee approaches, given revised facilities lists
- Levels of service for non-City-owned facilities.

REFERENCES

King County Growth Management Planning Council (December 2021). Countywide Planning Policies. Seattle, WA.

Puget Sound Regional Council (2020). VISION 2050. Seattle, WA.

State of Washington Office of Financial Management (January 2015). 2021-2027 Six-Year Facilities Plan. Olympia, WA.